

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/614,524	Applicant(s) ARNAUT ET AL.	
	Examiner Anne R. Kubelik	Art Unit 1638	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Anne R. Kubelik.

(3) _____.

(2) Christopher North.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8/14/06

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner told Applicant's representative that claims 74 and 58 were of the same scope. Applicant's representative answered that the claims were not redundant; claim 74 encompasses nucleic acids encoding proteins larger than 80 kD. Applicant requested that the protein size limitation be removed from claim 58 and inserted in claim 74, and that claims corresponding to claim 59-60, but dependent upon claim 74 be added; Applicant approved the examiner's amendment for claims 58, 74 and 76-77 as presented in the Examiner's amendment. .